STATE OF MAINE SUPERIOR COURT LINCOLN, ss. Docket No. RE-18-08

LEOL CORSON,
Plaintiff

vs.

VS.

JACOB BECK & JILL BECK,
Defendants.

)

CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF: JAMES W. GALLAGHER

Taken before Heather M. Williams, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine, at the Law Offices of Gallagher, Villeneuve & DeGeer, at 181 Main Street, Damariscotta, Maine, on Thursday, February 14, 2019, commencing at 2:06 P.M., pursuant to notice given.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: DENIS CULLEY, ESQ.

CRYSTAL ROY

For the Defendants: JONATHAN M. DAVIS, ESQ.

Also present TIMOTHY J. KIMPTON, ESQ.

Jacob Beck Jill Beck Leol Corson

WILLIAMS REPORTING SERVICE 207.716.1366

INDEX OF EXAMINATION

Examin	Page			
Mr.	Davis:		56,	81
Mr	Cullev.		69.	84

(No exhibits marked or offered on this date.)

1 STIPULATIONS 2 It is hereby agreed by and between the parties 3 that signature is not waived. ***** 4 5 JAMES W. GALLAGHER, having been duly sworn by the 6 Notary Public, was examined and deposed as 7 follows: 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. DAVIS: 10 Q Sir, would you please state your name for the court 11 reporter? 12 James W. Gallagher. Α 13 And this is your second -- the second time we've 14 spoken at a deposition? 15 That's correct. Α 16 And you remember the ground rules from the first 17 time, I assume? 18 T do. Α 19 Verbal responses, take breaks, one person talking at 20 a time, asking for clarifications, that sort of 21 stuff, correct? 22 I do, yes. Α 23 Okay. Are there any conditions or issues that impact 24 your ability to understand -- to hear questions and 25 to answer questions today?

- 1 A No.
- 2 | Q Have you spoke with anyone other than your attorney
- 3 about this matter between your first deposition and
- 4 today?
- 5 A Perhaps my wife.
- 6 Q Well, I fully acknowledge the spousal privilege, so I
- 7 will not go any further on that.
- 8 Mr. Gallagher, I'm going to hand you your
- 9 deposition transcript from the first go around.
- MR. KIMPTON: Can I take a break for a moment so
- I can run and grab ours.
- 12 (Off the record briefly.)
- 13 BY MR. DAVIS:
- 14 Q So would you take a look at the deposition transcript
- in front of you and take a look at pages 17 and 18?
- What I'm going to try and do is focus, Mr. Gallagher,
- on some of the questions that were objected to during
- 18 the first deposition. So just take a moment and take
- a look at pages 17 and 18 and let me know when you're
- done reviewing those.
- 21 A (Witness is examining document.)
- Okay. I'm done.
- 23 Q So those pages were basically discussing how you
- learned of the contract for Jacob and -- Jacob and
- Jill Beck's purchase of Leol Corson's Bristol

- 1 property, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And your testimony there was that there -- your
- 4 testimony at the first deposition was that there was
- a meeting where the contract was presented to you,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And Jacob and Jill were present at that meeting?
- 9 A At least one of them.
- 10 Q And you indicated in your first deposition that Leol
- 11 Corson may have been present at that meeting,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Correct. May have.
- 14 Q May have been present.
- Now, my question to which Mr. Culley objected
- was: What if anything did either Mr. Beck or Leol
- say at this meeting regarding the contract and this
- deal? How was this explained to you?
- So it was really two questions. What if
- anything did Mr. Beck or Leol say at this meeting
- 21 regarding the contract and this deal? Do you recall?
- 22 A It's well over two years ago; I can't remember
- verbatim what was said, no.
- 24 Q Do you recall how this transaction was explained to
- 25 you at that meeting?

- 1 A Only that the Becks were willing to purchase the
- 2 house and willing to care for Leol for basically the
- 3 rest of his life I believe at the house. And that's
- 4 very rough recollection of outline of what --
- 5 Q Do you have any recollection of have -- of being told
- 6 why the Becks were going to purchase the house?
- 7 A No, not specifically.
- 8 Q Do you recall having any concerns at that time that
- 9 Jacob Beck or Jill Beck were unduly influencing Mr.
- 10 | Corson to engage in this transaction?
- 11 A I only remember they -- they had said they would take
- care of him. It's -- that's my recollection. I
- wouldn't say that was necessarily undue influence.
- But I don't know; that's for a judge to decide, not
- for me.
- 16 Q Well, I -- how long have you been practicing law?
- 17 A Forty-two years.
- 18 Q How long have you been involved in real estate
- 19 transactions?
- 20 A About that length of time.
- 21 Q Your best guess, how many real estate transactions
- have you closed?
- 23 A Thousands. I couldn't tell you.
- 24 Q And would it be your practice to proceed with a real
- estate transaction if you personally as the attorney

1 involved had concerns about undue influence? 2 MR. CULLEY: I'm going to object. 3 First of all, you've gone well beyond the 4 purpose of this deposition, which was to get answers to questions that were objected to previously. And 5 now you're asking Attorney Gallagher for a -- an 6 opinion on the ultimate legal question in this 7 8 matter. 9 So I'm objecting on both those grounds. 10 MR. DAVIS: Your objection is noted. 11 BY MR. DAVIS: 12 Would you please answer my question? Q 13 I've had very few transactions where I felt there was Α 14 undue influence. That's all I can tell you. 15 Q And in those transactions, did you proceed to closing or did you put the brakes on? What would be your 16 practice? 17 18 Looking for explanations. 19 Did you look for explanations in this transaction? Q 20 MR. KIMPTON: Objection. You're presuming an 21 answer that he didn't give. 22 Q Did you have any such concerns in this transaction 23 about undue influence? 24 MR. CULLEY: Yes, I'm going to renew my

objection. And I think where we're so far beyond the

purpose of this deposition, I'm going to ask the witness not to answer.

A And I frankly am reluctant to answer that because it is beyond the scope of what I understood this deposition to be about.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Let's look at Deposition Exhibit Number 2. Take a moment to just review -- you can read the entire exhibit, of course, but in particular the first two pages.

MR. CULLEY: I -- I have a question. Which of the four questions does 2 relate to? I'm just trying to figure that out. Which of the four questions that we're here about does Deposition 2 relate to?

MR. DAVIS: So the question regarding conversations about Mr. Corson's finances.

MR. CULLEY: So that is -- was that number -MR. DAVIS: I believe if we take a look at -- so
I'm looking at page 29, line 13. Do you recall at
any point in time in this general period there being
a discussion with both Mr. Corson and with Mr. Corson
and Jacob and Jill Beck regarding Mr. Corson's
financial circumstances? Mr. Culley: I'm going to
object on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

MR. CULLEY: And so --

1 MR. DAVIS: So --2 MR. CULLEY: -- how does Deposition 2 go to 3 that? 4 MR. DAVIS: Well, I haven't asked a question 5 yet. 6 MR. CULLEY: Yes, I know. MR. DAVIS: So we don't know yet. 7 8 MR. CULLEY: Right. But --9 MR. DAVIS: So if I can ask the question, then 10 certainly -- my only question so far to the witness 11 was to review a particular document. And I haven't 12 asked a question about said document yet. 13 MR. CULLEY: Okay. I'll reserve any objection. 14 Go ahead. 15 BY MR. DAVIS: 16 So with respect to Number 2, the first page appears as -- is it a fair characterization to say these are 17 18 a series of e-mails between you and Mr. Beck? 19 MR. CULLEY: Yes, I'm going to object again. 20 Appears to be. 21 MR. CULLEY: Here's the question I see. Maybe 22 I'm misreading this. Do you recall at any point in 23 time in this general period there being a discussion 24 with Mr. Corson? And so I'm not asking about a

conversation with Mr. Corson, I'm asking about a

conversation with Mr. Corson and with Jacob and Jill Beck regarding Mr. Corson's financial circumstances.

So I don't see this as a conversation with Mr.

Corson or with anybody else. I see this as some sort of e-mail chain.

MR. DAVIS: And Jacob and Jill Beck. It's an e-mail from Jacob Beck to the witness.

MR. CULLEY: Right. But the -- the question was about Mr. -- you had originally asked -- the objection went to attorney-client privilege and his conversation with Mr. Corson. Now you're saying it's really about his conversation with Jacob and Jill?

Is that --

MR. DAVIS: With Corson, Jacob, or Jill.

I stopped my line of questioning because you objected, Denis, and I wanted to respect your objection based on attorney-client privilege. So my practice is when there's an objection based on attorney-client privilege, to move on to my next question and not to pursue it any further, because I don't want to -- again, I take objections in depositions or in any other matter based on attorney-client privilege very seriously.

MR. CULLEY: So you're saying Deposition Exhibit 2 goes to -- is part of this conversation?

1 MR. DAVIS: I'm saying it's a -- it's a question 2 about discussions that were not directly solely 3 between Mr. Corson and Mr. Gallagher. These are 4 discussions that -- I would suggest to you this is a communication and correspondence that was not 5 protected by attorney-client privilege and concerns 6 7 Mr. -- Mr. Corson's finances at the time of the 8 transaction. 9 MR. CULLEY: And that includes all of the e-mail dated November 10, 2016? 10 11 MR. DAVIS: I'm happy to redact everything but 12 the first two pages or to put it all in. 13 MR. CULLEY: No, no. Well, I'm talking about 14 Exhibit 2. 15 MR. DAVIS: Exhibit 2. 16 MR. CULLEY: There's an e-mail, good morning, Jim. Does it include that e-mail? 17 18 MR. DAVIS: Correct. But more important to me, 19 it's the response on page 2. 20 MR. CULLEY: But it does include that e-mail, 21 too. 22 MR. DAVIS: Correct. 23 MR. CULLEY: So I can ask about that e-mail, 24 too. 25 MR. DAVIS: Of course. Of course.

- 1 MR. CULLEY: Okay. 2 BY MR. DAVIS: 3 Well, let me ask the -- let me ask a different 4 question if I may, then. You've had the opportunity to review Exhibit 2, 5 Mr. Gallagher? 6 7 Not completely. I'm trying to find my response. 8 see two e-mails from Mr. Beck, one on page 1 --9 MR. KIMPTON: I don't see a response here, 10 either. 11 -- and one on page 2. I don't see any response. Α 12 How about the bottom half of page 2? 13 It looks to me like that's a good morning, Jim. Α 14 a continuation or a new e-mail from Mr. Beck, begins 15 with: I've been discussing at length how to best 16 care for Grampa Corson. And there's items 1, 2, and 17 3 below that. I don't see that's my response. 18 Okay. So let me just move on, then. 19 So take a look at Deposition Exhibit page 29 --20 28 to 29, excuse me. And let me know when you're 21 done reviewing those pages. 22 Α (Witness is examining document.) 23 Had two pages stuck together. I was reading the

wrong page --

24

25 No trouble. Q

- 1 A -- so give me a second.
- 2 Q Of course. Please take your time.
- 3 A (Witness is examining document.)
- 4 Okay, I've finished.
- And would you also take a look at Exhibit 5 to that original transcript, which is the subject matter of the questions that you just reviewed -- the section of transcript you just reviewed --
- 9 A Mm-hmm.
- 10 Q -- just to make sure that we're all up to speed in the context.
- 12 A Okay. I've reviewed 5.
- 13 So my question that I asked on page 29 line 0 Okav. 14 13: Do you recall at any point in time in this 15 general period -- I hope there won't be an objection 16 to me suggesting that the general period is between 17 November 6, 2018 to the closing -- excuse me, 18 November 6, 2016 to the closing, which I believe 19 was -- we established was December 19, 2016. Do you 20 recall there being -- at any point in time in this 21 general period there being a discussion with both Mr.
- Corson -- and to be clear, I'm asking about a
- conversation with Mr. Corson and with Jacob and Jill
- Beck -- regarding Mr. Corson's financial
- 25 circumstances?

1 It's an inartful question. The objection was 2 not as to form; the objection was to privilege. But 3 my -- what I was trying to ask is a conversation with 4 Jacob, Jill, and Mr. Corson regarding Mr. Corson's financial circumstances in November, December 2016? 5 6 I believe the substance of what I may have told Mr. Α 7 and/or Mrs. Beck is summarized in e-mails in 8 Deposition Exhibit Number 5. It would be unlikely 9 that I would have advised them of any other 10 circumstances that I would have known about Leol's 11 financial situation, other than what's in here. And 12 I do not remember telling them anything about his 13 personal financial situation, other than what's 14 contained in 5. 15 Q Well, so my -- but my first -- my question was, do 16 you recall whether there were any such conversations? 17 And is your testimony the only such conversation you 18 recall is in the Deposition Exhibit 5? 19 That would be correct. Α 20 Okay. And would you take a look at page 48? 21 (Witness is examining document.) Α And in particular, take a look at line 18. 22 0 23 Α (Witness is examining document.) 24 I've reviewed it.

And Mr. Culley objected to that question.

So

25

Q

understand he's withdrawn that objection, at least as of now.

Α

So at any point in time from December 6 to -and that was my mistake, I should have said

November -- excuse me, November 8, which I think was
a date that we had talked about at the deposition.

In any event, at any time from December 8, 2016

through the date of closing, did you have any
conversations with Mr. Corson, Leol Corson, regarding
the potential implications of the Maine Improvident

Transfer Act on the proposed transfer?

I don't remember speaking with him and using the

- I don't remember speaking with him and using the terms Improvident Transfer Act. I very well may have spoken with him, as I would commonly do with any client concerning sale of property involving a relative. But I -- again, as I've said in this deposition, my personal feeling was that the sale was for value, and it would not have probably crossed my mind to discuss Improvident Transfer at length with him.
- And would it be your practice if you had a conversation with a client about a particular real estate transaction, or if you had a conversation with Mr. Corson about this particular real estate transaction, to make some sort of record or memo or

- 1 notation about the date and the substance of any such 2 conversation? 3 Probably not. Α 4 And would you take a look at page 49? Directing your 5 attention to line 16. My question was: When the contract was -- was presented to you, what was your 6 7 understanding of the rationale behind it? 8 Α I'm not sure I understand what you mean by the 9 rationale behind the contract. The contract was the 10 contract, it speaks for itself. 11 Were you -- was the purpose and the idea behind it Q 12 ever explained to you? 13 You asked that earlier today, and I think my response Α 14 earlier today was that they were buying the house and 15 they were going to take care of Leol for the rest of 16 his life, one way or another. I mean, that would be 17 all I -- that would be only rationale that I can 18 think of that was behind that, if that's what you 19 were getting at. 20 MR. DAVIS: Nothing further at this time. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. CULLEY: 23 Denis Culley. I just have a few questions, Jim. Q
- 24 The Purchase and Sale Agreement, you've reviewed 25 that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Does it -- and anywhere in that Agreement, does it
- 3 say that they're obligated -- the Becks were
- 4 obligated to care for Mr. Corson for the rest of his
- 5 life?
- 6 A I don't believe so. I'd have to take a look at it.
- 7 I haven't reviewed it in detail. But again, I did
- 8 not prepare this agreement. It was a signed document
- 9 when it came to my office.
- 10 Q Sure. So do you want to take a moment to -- to
- 11 review it? Is that -- yes.
- 12 A Sure.
- 13 Q And just my question, is there anything express in
- 14 that?
- MR. DAVIS: And Denis, just so the record is
- 16 clear, in response to your objection about me --
- concerns that I was wandering afield, I tried to
- narrowly tailor my examination to the four questions.
- So to the extent you're pursuing issues beyond those
- four questions, I'm going to voice the same
- 21 continuing objection to your line of questioning.
- MR. CULLEY: But I -- I just asked -- it's
- almost exact question that you asked about the
- 24 Purchase and Sale Agreement. So I -- if it's
- objectionable, then yours must be objectionable too.

- 1 A A quick review of it does not seem to indicate
- 2 anything other than a straight \$105,000 purchase with
- 3 a \$100 deposit.
- 4 BY MR. CULLEY:
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Again, I did not prepare this.
- 7 Q Sure. Yes.
- 8 And regarding the Purchase and Sale Agreement,
- 9 to your knowledge -- to your knowledge, did Leol know
- about or understand line 4 of that Agreement?
- 11 A Line 4 -- paragraph 4.
- 12 Q Paragraph 4, yes.
- MR. DAVIS: Excuse me. Can we go off the record
- just for a quick sec?
- MR. CULLEY: Sure.
- 16 (Off the record briefly.)
- 17 (The preceding question was read back by
- 18 the reporter.)
- 19 A I have no idea what Mr. Corson knew or didn't know.
- 20 Q Okay. All right. In your experience -- you were
- asked earlier about your many years experience in
- real estate transfers -- is it common when people
- sell their house that the buyer gets everything in
- the house too, every single one of their possessions?
- 25 A Very rare.

- 1 Q Yes. Okay.
- 2 A In my experience.
- 3 Q In your experience, yes. Forty years plus experience.
- 5 I want to direct your attention back to Exhibit
- 6 2. This is an e-mail chain between Jacob and
- 7 yourself.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q Specifically the first part, November 10, 10:17 A.M.
- $10 \quad A \quad Mm-hmm.$
- 11 Q What's going on in this -- these first few
- paragraphs, say 1 through -- well, what's going on in
- all five paragraphs? Could you summarize what's
- going on in this e-mail?
- 15 A As I remember, Mr. and Mrs. Beck were seeking to
- qualify with I think it was USAA to mortgage the
- 17 property. The question was the price. And it's a
- 18 little bit odd because the contract is dated November
- 19 12. Mr. Beck is talking about putting 20 percent
- down on a \$130,000 purchase price. He talks about
- 21 the \$105,000 -- it's what actually wound up on the
- contract. Says they would like to help with his
- other debts.
- 24 Apparently they had talked, Mr. Corson and the
- Becks had talked about Leol's financial situations

- other than this, which I'm not aware of.
- 2 Q To your knowledge, was there an agreement for the
- Becks to pay Leol's other debts, written agreement,
- 4 any agreement?
- 5 A Nothing.
- 6 0 No?
- 7 A It's not apparent in the Purchase and Sale Agreement.
- 8 Q Is it fair to say in this e-mail, I guess it's Jacob
- 9 Beck who sent it, is offering to pay \$105,000 instead
- of \$130,000?
- 11 A It says they talked about 130,000 and that it sounds
- 12 like they're looking at 105 as the purchase price,
- which is what is reflected in the P & S.
- 14 Q I'm looking at paragraph 3. Putting down 20 percent
- on the price we talked about, do you think that we is
- 16 not you?
- 17 A It's not me.
- 18 Q Never talked about the price?
- 19 A No. Just what I saw here.
- I mean, I was not trying to negotiate the deal
- 21 for -- for Leol. It's apparent that he and his --
- his granddaughter and her husband were dealing with
- that directly. And as I say, the first thing I saw
- 24 that it was sold, was under --
- 25 Q So in --

Α -- contract was the contract itself.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 Q Okay. So in your representation for Leol, you did 3 not give him guidance or assistance in negotiating 4 the price of the sale of his house and everything he owns?
 - First, I would have to say I didn't know until they Α brought the contract in that it was everything else he owned. Apparently prior to the contract -- the contract is dated November 12 and this is November 10 -- Mr. Beck and I exchanged e-mails, although I don't see my response at least on that page, nor on the next one.

There are some responses to the November 10 -the second e-mail between Mr. Beck and I where it looks like I copied his e-mail and then answered his questions immediately after the question was asked. For example, in number 1, I say that's okay. Number 2, I say good idea.

Again, I have to look at this and assume that these are my responses because I have no direct recollection of two and a half years ago what -- what I may have said.

- So you didn't have a copy of this e-mail, then? Q
- 24 Probably on my machine somewhere. Α
- 25 Q Your own copy?

- 1 A But I wouldn't --
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A I wouldn't necessarily have looked at it in
- preparation for this previous deposition. It was an
- 5 exhibit at the deposition.
- 6 O So in answers --
- 7 MR. KIMPTON: Can we take a break here?
- 8 MR. CULLEY: Yes.
- 9 (Off the record at 2:39 P.M. The
- deposition resumed at 3:03 P.M.)
- 11 MR. CULLEY: If you could read the last
- 12 question.
- 13 (The preceding question was read back by
- the reporter.)
- 15 BY MR. CULLEY:
- 16 Q It would be Exhibit 2 then, right?
- $17 \quad A \quad Mm-hmm$
- 18 Q Right, Exhibit 2.
- And I had asked you -- I had asked you about
- 20 paragraphs 1 through 6 on the front page. Just want
- 21 to ask you to follow up on the next e-mail, it's the
- same day but 10:17 A.M., where you -- you believe
- that the capital print might be your responses?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Are you anymore sure whether or not they're your

- 1 responses?
- 2 | A I'm reasonably sure they are my responses.
- 3 Q Okay. Sure. So I'm looking at the -- the fourth
- one. I'd asked earlier about the -- whether you had
- been involved at all with the price, 130 versus 105.
- And your response to the fourth one, could you read
- 7 that?
- 8 A My response is --
- 9 Q Yes.
- 10 A Leol, a second mortgage, I think I meant to type has,
- says I'll check with the bank, it's rare these days,
- 12 you could give Leol a second mortgage for the
- 13 additional amount.
- 14 Q Yes. So -- so was it your intention to check with
- the bank and see if they would --
- MR. KIMPTON: I'm going to object to the
- 17 | question. I think you're going beyond what -- the
- scope of the questions that you had asked -- that you
- 19 had objected to before. And it's beyond the scope of
- 20 this deposition.
- MR. CULLEY: Sure.
- BY MR. CULLEY:
- 23 Q I'll ask you to answer that.
- 24 A I'm sure I did check with the bank.
- 25 Q And regarding a second mortgage for the additional

- amount, did you mean the difference between 105
 and -- 105,000 and 130,000?
- At that point, there was no contract. I have -
 again, I don't know what negotiations were taking on,

 other than what I see in number 3 on the front page

 of Deposition Exhibit Number 2, which talks -- Mr.

 Beck talks about 130,000 brings a downpayment up to

 26,000, which they don't have. That's --
- 9 Q So you're saying you had no role in the negotiations 10 or the price?
 - A I -- I did not talk -- to the best of my recollection, I did not talk with Mr. Corson about the price here. The -- the first time I saw there was any deal on the table, all this had been kind of exploratory before and a couple of days before the contract. But the first time that I knew what the price was going to be was shown in Exhibit Number 3, it was brought to my office.
 - I -- that's -- that's the only response I can give you.
- Q Okay. So then I -- is it fair to say, then, that
 the -- any negotiations were between -- to your
 knowledge, any negotiations were between the Becks
 and Mr. Corson alone?
- 25 A Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Q Yes. Okay. I won't go any further on that now.
- I want to ask a question about Exhibit 10, the
- 3 Living Arrangement Agreement. And this -- this would
- 4 tie back to the questions that were objected to
- 5 related to Leol -- the -- the deal -- the arrangement
- 6 consulting with everybody. Did you draft the Living
- 7 Arrangement Agreement?
- 8 A I did.
- 9 Q And what was the purpose of the Living Arrangement
- 10 Agreement; in your eyes?
- 11 A I think it was to put down in writing what Mr. and
- Mrs. Beck had indicated to me they intended to do for
- Mrs. Beck's grandfather. I can't tell you right now
- from -- from my memory whether that was part of
- 15 the -- it certainly isn't in Exhibit 4, the -- the
- 16 contract. I think that's Exhibit 4.
- 17 Q Maybe Exhibit 3?
- 18 A Maybe Exhibit 3, yes. Yes, 3.
- 19 I'm certain it was -- it was their intent, and
- they seemed well-meaning, to take care of Leol.
- 21 Q Does the Living Arrangement Agreement -- is the
- Living Arrangement Agreement, Exhibit 10, a contract
- for the Corsons to provide help with cooking?
- 24 A It appears to be more related to who pays the
- 25 expenses for Mr. Corson living at the house post

- 1 Agreement.
- 2 Q And that would be Mr. Corson, wouldn't it?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So does this Agreement bind the Becks to provide
- 5 medical care?
- 6 A Well, the Agreement speaks for itself. I --
- 7 Q Yes. Well, does -- what does it bind the Becks to do
- 8 for Mr. Corson?
- 9 A To allow Mr. Corson to live at the residence with
- 10 temporary help as may be necessary for as long as
- 11 he's able to live by himself with a minimum help from
- 12 outside sources.
- 13 | Q Does it say who the temporary help might come from?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Was this recorded in the Registry of Deeds?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Is this a deed?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Is this a life estate?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q There was some talk earlier about a --
- 22 A That's my opinion.
- 23 Q What's that?
- 24 A My opinion.
- 25 Q Your opinion.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Granted.
- There was some talk earlier about a support
- 4 mortgage. Was there a support mortgage?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Okay. Just back to -- to Exhibit 3, the -- the
- 7 Purchase and Sale Agreement. You had said earlier
- 8 that providing care for support was not part of the
- 9 Purchase and Sale Agreement. Is that true?
- 10 A I don't see it explicitly stated anywhere in here.
- 11 Q Okay. And was your understanding of the \$105,000
- sale price that would include all of Leol's
- possessions, tools, everything he owned on that
- 14 property?
- 15 A That's what was presented to me as a signed document.
- 16 Yes.
- 17 Q And so in those discussions that we looked at in
- 18 Exhibit -- what is it, Exhibit 2, there was talk of
- 19 105,000, talk of the sale, was it your understanding
- 20 during those exchanges that everything Leol owned was
- also included, all of his chattels and personal?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Oh.
- 24 A No, I was -- I was talking just real estate, not in
- 25 the usual things, you know, stove, refrigerator,

1 washer, dryer --

- 2 Q Oh, yes.
- 3 A -- that might normally go with a -- with a sale.
- 4 Q I'll stop for now.

5 EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. DAVIS:

7 Q Mr. Gallagher, just a couple of quick questions.

Counsel was asking you about Exhibit 2, and he asked you questions on I think it was page 3 of Exhibit 2. You'll have to bear with me as I rifle through to try and find mine. And in particular, he was asking you, if you take a -- at page 3, he was asking you questions about -- Mr. Culley was asking you questions about the if possible we could take over his mortgage; response, I'll check with the bank, you could give Leol a second mortgage.

If I direct your attention to number 3 on the page 4 at the bottom, where talking about -- the e-mail, point number 3 is putting 20 percent down on the purchase price, brings the downpayment to 26,000, unfortunately, we don't have this amount but we're actively working on getting this.

And I think you had said that your responses to Jacob's points were in all caps. And so your response number 3 is: Time is on our side but Leol

- can't wait too long. Do you recall what you meant by
- 2 that?
- 3 A I honestly don't. It -- I'm sure that that's
- 4 something that I typed, but I'm not sure whose side
- 5 that refers to.
- 6 Q Okay. And counsel was asking you questions about
- 7 Exhibit 10, the Living Arrangement Agreement. You
- 8 don't have to look at it. It's -- if I recall your
- 9 previous deposition testimony, you didn't recall
- 10 whether you had sent that out for review prior to
- 11 closing. But you -- we certainly I think -- you
- agreed that it was signed at closing, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q It seemed consistent with your -- your recollection
- of your prior testimony?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q Okay. Do you recall whether there was any hesitation
- or reluctance on the part of Jacob and Jacob or Jill
- Beck to sign such -- that document? Were they
- 20 hesitant at all that you observed at closing?
- 21 A I don't remember, I'm sorry.
- 22 Q And I want to make sure that -- that your -- your
- sworn testimony is accurate in response to questions
- from Mr. Culley about real estate transactions
- 25 involving personal property. Did I -- and here I'm

1 clearly putting words in your mouth, so please 2 correct me. I thought I heard you testify that on perhaps a handful of occasions you've seen 3 4 transactions involve contents of homes? Is that accurate? 5 Yes. Very few. 6 Α 7 In your experience in the years of real estate you 8 had talked about, isn't it true that it's common with 9 camps and cottages and the like that they'll be sold 10 with all furnishings and contents? 11 It may be where you come from, but that has not been Α 12 the rule around here that I've witnessed. It's --13 it's rare. It's usually stove, refrigerator, washer, 14 and dryer. And on occasion where there's an estate 15 and somebody simply wants to dump a cottage or 16 something, I have seen that. And that probably would be the majority of those unusual situations. 17 18 Thank you, sir. 19 MR. DAVIS: Nothing further. 20 MR. CULLEY: Could we take a minute? 21 MR. DAVIS: Of course. 22 (Off the record at 3:16 P.M. The 23 deposition resumed at 3:22 P.M.) 24

_ ¬

1 EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. CULLEY:
- 3 Q Okay. So Jim, want to go back to Exhibit --
- 4 Deposition Exhibit 2 that Attorney Davis was asking
- 5 about. And this would be the -- the e-mail was also
- 6 sent on November 10, 11:32 A.M.
- $7 \mid A \quad Mm-hmm$.
- 8 Q And I think it's like page 3, is it? Yes, page 3 of
- 9 that exhibit. And I'm looking down here -- oh, I'll
- 10 let you read that. Take a moment.
- 11 A (Witness is examining document.)
- 12 I've read it.
- 13 Q Okay. So it sounds like you -- you called the bank
- about this deal and how it might -- how they might
- 15 fund it?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Yes. And you said -- over here, you say we would
- need to consider a support mortgage for the
- difference between that mortgage, 100,000 plus or
- 20 minus I think, and the value of the home, 150,000,
- 21 question mark.
- Where did the 150,000 come from?
- 23 A It probably came from Mr. Beck's comments earlier,
- 24 | 130. I -- I have no idea.
- 25 Q No idea. Okay.

Mell, let me just go back to the other exhibit

Attorney Davis asked about a good deal, Exhibit 5,

Deposition Exhibit 5. This is an e-mail from you to

Jacob Beck. And let me see, I guess it's the third

sentence. Now we know there is nothing owed to BB&T,

that there was a 148,000 mortgage to Homecoming

So were you familiar with a \$148,000 mortgage?

9 A I was totally unaware of it until we got into the -
10 the actual meat of the deal.

11 Q Sure.

7

- 12 A I did not -- and it surprised me a little bit,
 13 because I normally represented Mr. Corson. It was
 14 news to me that he had a mortgage with this company.
- 15 Q Sure.
- 16 A I did not do the work on it.

Financial.

- 17 Q Yes. In your experience, 40 years of experience plus
 18 with real estate --
- 19 A Don't keep reminding me of that, please.
- 20 Q Sorry about that.
- Do -- do banks typically loan more money than the security interest?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q So would it be fair to say that 148,000 would be a -25 at least a bank's valuation of the security interest

- 1 to that house?
- 2 MR. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- 3 MR. KIMPTON: Objection.
- 4 BY MR. CULLEY:
- 5 Q Would it be fair to say 148,000 is an expression of
- 6 value?
- 7 A No.
- No. Why would the bank loan 148,000 if they didn't think it was worth 148,000?
- MR. KIMPTON: Objection.
- MR. DAVIS: The same objection.
- MR. CULLEY: Sure. I'll ask him to answer
- anyway.

24

- 14 Q In your experience?
- 15 There was a period, as we are all aware of, back in 16 the early 2000s I believe where people were using their homes as if they were banks and taking out 17 18 mortgages first and second. And back during that 19 period of time -- and I'm not sure when this -- I 20 don't have the records in front of me to tell you 21 when that mortgage took place. But there were 22 mortgage brokers who could somehow figure a way to 23 make a piece of property worth a lot more than it

actually was in order to get a mortgage for their

25 client.

- 1 Q And would that typically be many tens of thousands of
- 2 dollars more on a house of this value?
- 3 A I've seen that.
- 4 Q Yes. Yes.
- 5 A Unfortunately.
- 6 Q And had -- had you seen that in Leol's other
- 7 mortgages?
- 8 A I did not handle any mortgage transactions for Leol.
- 9 I don't know where -- who did. My guess is if this
- 10 was Northstar or whatever the company was --
- 11 Nationstar, they usually send -- they do it
- completely outside of the legal -- the lawyer's
- office. They send an agent from who knows where to
- 14 close the deal, and they may or may not know anything
- about what's going on.
- I -- I have no -- I have no knowledge why
- 17 \$148,000 was there.
- 18 | Q Sure. And no knowledge of why 150,000 in your
- 19 previous e-mail?
- 20 A I think I was just throwing a figure out. I don't
- 21 have any idea.
- 22 O Okay.
- MR. CULLEY: All set. That's it.
- MR. DAVIS: Nothing for me.

CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT

	Ι, ί	JAMES	W.	GALLA	GHE	R, (do h	nere	eby	cert	tify	, th	at
the f	ore	going	35	pages	is	an	acc	cura	te	tran	nscr	ript	,
excep	t as	s not	ed k	oelow,	of	tes	stin	nony	gi	ven	by	me	on
Febru	ary	14,	2019	9, in	the	caı	ıse	of	act	ion	COF	RSON	VS.
BECK	and	BECK											

Date JAMES W. GALLAGHER

NOTARY PUBLIC

CORRECTIONS

Page #: Line #: Reads: Should Read:

CERTIFICATE

I, Heather M. Williams, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine, hereby certify that on the 14th day of February, 2019, personally appeared before me the within-named deponent, JAMES W.

GALLAGHER, who was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the aforenamed cause of action, and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the evidence as taken by me by means of computer-aided machine shorthand.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the aforenamed cause of action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand this 4th day of March, 2019.

Heather M. Williams Notary Public

My commission expires:

June 1, 2021